

"In Memoriam"

- (1) An elaborately finished piece of work of art.
- (2) Sometimes too rich in colouring & too ornate in language.
- (3) possesses the unsurpassed melody and rhythm, sometimes bordering on euphonie tricks and, what is worse, the author is always conscious of it.
- (4) It is a mass of reflections and emotions connected together. This necessarily deprives the poem of spontaneity, not of one continuous glow of the heart-felt lament gushing from the sacred Hippocrene.
- (5) Too much given to stop the gap with plausible links of logic, metaphysics & vague religious ideas.
- (6) The author blindly believes in the goodness of God, because it is too hard for him to look full in the face the merciless process of nature.
- (7) His faith is, therefore, not an outcome of intuition like Wordsworth's.
- (8) His idea of the future life is rather vague. He shifts from side to side & circuitously drive at the conclusion with a touch of apology in it.

(9) He refutes PanTheism, yet he sings in

CXXX

Thy voice is on the rolling air;  
I hear thee where the waters run;

Thou standest in the rising sun,

And in the setting thou art fair.

It is quite impossible for me to understand the passage without giving it a PanTheistic meaning. Apart from it, there <sup>would be</sup> is no charm in the lines, if they were intelligible.

(10) Sometimes, I doubt if he is not guilty of little affectation.

I can never praise too much ~~that~~ the beauty of that paragraph XIX, beginning with "The Danube to the Severn gave". Whenever I read the passage, it always put me in mind of Coleridge's equally melodious lines:-

The sun now rose upon the right.  
Out of the sea came he,  
Still hid in mist, and on the left  
Went down into the sea

I am at a loss to explain the reason why.

Now one thing I can not find fault with Tennyson's passage, this:—

felt

In the 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph he says: - 3

The Wye is bush'd nor moved along,  
And bush'd my deepest grief of all,  
So far all right. I look upon the pushing  
of the Wye & that of T's grief as a  
chance coincidence, simply brought  
together, partly to assist in prove  
the effect of sound, & partly to show  
~~that~~ <sup>between</sup> harmonious feeling ~~with~~  
& the environs. The effect would  
have been nothing but charming, had  
he not added the last stanza:

The tide flows down, the wave again  
Is vocal in its wooded wall;

My deeper anguish also falls,  
And I can speak a little then.  
Now this time, I cannot consider  
it a chance correspondence, be-  
cause it is too much. The author  
by using this poetical artifice, naturally  
forces the reader to seek for <sup>the</sup> causal  
relation between the ebb & flow of the  
river & those of his ~~of~~ bitter feelings,  
which there is none, as far as I  
can see. Even if there were,

it would be a very awkward artifice  
on the part of the poet to appeal  
to the reader's reasoning faculty, which  
would destroy all the charm, he would  
have been capable to import otherwise.

If the former, I should say, T. is insincere.  
If the latter, his trick is not worth much.